Matlab code for Lyapunov exponents of fractional-order systems, Part II: The non-commensurate case

MARIUS-F. DANCA

Romanian Institute od Science and Technology, 400487 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, danca@rist.ro

Received (to be inserted by publisher)

In this paper, the Benettin-Wolf algorithm for determining all Lyapunov exponents of noncommensurate fractional-order systems modeled by Caputo's derivative and the corresponding Matlab code are presented. The paper continues the work started in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018], where the Matlab code of commensurate fractional-order systems is given. To integrate the extended systems, the Adams-Bashforth-Moulton scheme for fractional differential equations is utilized. Like the Matlab program for commensurate-order systems, the program presented in this paper prints and plots all Lyapunov exponents as function of time. The program can be simply adapted to plot the evolution of the Lyapunov exponents as a function of orders, or a function of a bifurcation parameter. A special attention is paid to the periodicity of fractional-order systems and its influences. The case of non-commensurate the Lorenz system is demonstrated.

Keywords: Periodicity of fractional-order system, Lyapunov exponents of fractional-order system, Fractional-order system

1. Introduction

Despite the fact that there are opinions and results questioning the utility of Lyapunov Exponents (LEs)(see e.g. [Cvitanović *et al.*, 2016], where the evaluation of the LEs is not recommended: "Compute stability exponents and the associated covariant vectors instead. Cost less and gets you more insight. ... we are doubtful of their utility as means of predicting any observables of physical significance"), determining numerically LEs remains the subject of many works growing into a real software industry for modern nonlinear physics (see, e.g. [Hegger *et al.*, 1999; Barreira & Pesin, 2001; Skokos, 2010; Czornik *et al.*, 2013; Pikovsky & Politi, 2016; Vallejo & Sanjuan, 2019] and others).

In numerical calculations of the LEs, the asymptotic time averaging is usually accomplished by using a sufficiently long time to allow the average of the exponents to converge within a set tolerance. Although less frequently used, probability densities (distributions) of the exponents, averaged over a much shorter time, also contain valuable dynamic information. Such distributions are made up of the so-called finite-time or local LEs [Ott, 1993; Prasad & Ramaswamy, 1999] (see also [Botha, 2016]).

The global Lyapunov exponent can measure the time-averaged divergence of nearby trajectories on a strange attractor, revealing an exponential divergence (in the case of positive exponents) or convergence (in the case of negative exponents) [Eckmann & Ruelle, 1985; Wolf *et al.*, 1985b]. The largest Lyapunov exponent gives a rough estimate of the predictability limit [Huai et al., 2017]. On the other side, numerically there often exists a strong interest in the local predictability. In ergodic systems, most trajectories will asymptotically yield to the same LE. If trajectories are considered for short times

only, then the mean separation rate will depend on the trajectories and also the length of the time interval [Grassberger et al., 1988; Eckardt & Yao, 1993]. Since finite time LEs can be obtained as integrals of the local separation rate along the trajectory, they are also called local LEs [Eckardt & Yao, 1993]. Approaches for the LEs computation and their differences are discussed, e.g., in [Kuznetsov *et al.*, 2016, 2018a].

Nowadays, there are two widely used definitions of the LEs: via the exponential growth rates of norms of the fundamental matrix columns [Lyapunov, 1892] and via the exponential growth rates of the sigular values of the fundamental matrix [Oseledets, 1968].

Applying the statistical physics approach and assuming the ergodicity (see, e.g. [Oseledets, 1968]), LEs of a given dynamical system are often estimated by local LEs along a "typical" trajectory. However, in numerical experiments, the rigorous use of the ergodic theory is a challenging task (see, e.g. [Cvitanović *et al.*, 2016, p.118]).

Since in numerical experiments only the finite time LEs can be computed, they can differ significantly from the limit values, such as in the case where the considered trajectory belongs to a transient chaotic set. Therefore, it is remarkable that these characteristic quantities are reliable to only a few decimals. Although the subject of fractional derivative is three centuries old as the conventional integer-order calculus, is the use of this kind of operators mainly started recently (see e.g. [Caputo, 1967]). Nowadays, differential or difference equations of FO represent useful models in mechatronics, viscoelasticity, seismology, electrical circuits, aerodynamics, biophysics, biology, blood flow phenomena, chemistry, control theory, etc. (see, e.g., [Machado et al.,, 2010] or references in [Tavazoei, 2010]).

Analytical solutions for fractional differentiation problems are very limited. Due to this reason, recently many numerical approximations of solutions to fractional equations were proposed (see e.g. [Diethelm *et al.*, 2002]).

On the other side, a recent result opened a lot of questions on the applicability of fractional differential operators: nonexistence of exact non-constant periodic solutions of autonomous nonlinear dynamical systems of FO, proved by Tavazoei and Haeri in 2009 [Tavazoei & Haeri, 2009]. Once this result appeared, a lot of related works have been published (see for example [Area et al., 2014; Yazdani & Salarieh, 2011; Kang et al., 2015]). The impact of this result in the applications of Fractional Order (FO) systems seems to be more important than his influence in the theory of FO systems. Therefore, while the number of theoretic results on this subject continues to born, non-periodicity affect the rightness of many applicative works on FO systems, appeared after this result.

Therefore, until some analytical modality to overcome this problem appears, in this paper a possible way to avoid this problem of non-periodicity is described.

2. On the periodicity of numerical solutions of fractional-order systems

As mentioned in Introduction, one of the most important property of nonlinear systems, periodicity, is impossible in FO systems, discrete or continuous. Consider the Initial Value Problem (IVP) of (noncommensurate or commensurate) of FO, with Caputo's derivative:

$$D_*^q x(t) = f(x(t)), x(0) = x_0,$$
(1)

for $t \in [0,T]$, $q \in (0,1)$, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and D^q_* , Caputo's differential operator of order q with starting point 0:

$$D^{q}_{*}x(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{-q} x'(\tau) d\tau,$$

with Γ being the Euler function.

Properties of Caputo's differential operator, D_*^q , can be found in e.g. [Podlubny, 1999; Gorenflo & Mainardi, 1997].

Lemma 1. [Tavazoei & Haeri, 2009] Systems modeled by the IVP (1) can not have any non-constant periodic solution.

This result is based on the result which states that for $q \in (0, 1)$, Caputo's derivative of a nonconstant *T*-periodic function cannot be *T*-periodic (as for Lemma 1, the result has been proved also for Grunwald-Letnikov and Riemann-Liouville derivatives) and is due to the fact that the entire past history of the system has to be taken into account. An extended result of Lemma 1 is the following theorem

Theorem 1. [Yazdani & Salarieh, 2011] Systems modeled by the IVP (1) does not have any periodic solution unless the lower terminal of the derivative is $-\infty$.

Therefore, just the fractional systems with the lower terminal of $-\infty$ could have periodic solutions. To understand better Lemma 1, revisit the example of Caputo's derivative of the periodic sine function, whose Caputo's derivative for $q \in (0, 1)$ is not periodic (In [Tavazoei, 2010], the example is introduced via Riemann-Liuville derivative).

$$D_*^q \sin(t) = t^{1-q} E_{2,2-q}(-t^q),$$

where $E_{a,b}(z)$ is the two-parameter function of Mittag-Leffler [Chen & Podlubny, 2009; Podlubny, 1999]

$$E_{a,b}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(ak+b)}.$$

Although sine is a periodic function, its fractional derivative, $D_*^q \sin(t)$, is not, compared with the integer-order derivative, when $\frac{d}{dt}\sin(t) = \cos(t)$, which is periodic. In Fig. 1 (a), the function $t^{1-q}E_{2,2-q}(-t^2)$ for 4 values of q is presented. The circled regions show that except the case q = 1 when the function $t^{1-q}E_{2,2-q}(-t^2)$ becomes the periodic function $\cos(t)$ ([Podlubny, 1999] Theorem 1.7), for $q \in \{0.1, 0.4, 0.7\}$ the underlying graphs are not periodic. However, because usually first transients have to be removed, the best way to show numerically the aperiodicity of $D_*^q \sin(t)$ for larger values of t (as necessary for, e.g., stable cycles), is to calculate the autocorrelation which, for non-periodic time series (signals), decreases to zero for relatively large values of time (see Fig. 1 (b) for q = 0.4).

Another interesting result refers to the special case of asymptotic stability, namely the finite-time stability of FO systems. The equilibrium $x = x^*$ of system (1) is said to be (locally) finite-time stable if it is stable and, for the trajectory x(t) starting from x_0 located in a neighborhood of x^* , there exists a time instant T > 0, such that $x(t) = x^*$ for all $t \ge T$.

Theorem 2. [Shen & Lam, 2014] If $x = x^*$ is an equilibrium of the IVP (1), then $x = x^*$ cannot be finite-time stable.

Some of the practical implications of above results are clearly underlined in [Tavazoei & Haeri, 2009]: "Can the controller tuning methods or identification methods, which use limit cycle information, be extended for use in the control or identification of FO systems? As another example, let us consider the issue of modeling. We know that differential equations OF fo (FDEs) have been effectively used in the modeling of real-world systems. According to the result presented in this note, which model structure should be preferred for modeling an oscillatory system, an IO or a FO? Are Caputo based FDEs, which do not have periodic solutions, good candidates to model oscillatory systems? Let us give another example. Stabilization of unstable periodic orbits as a control objective is a way to suppress the chaotic oscillations in IO chaotic systems. To achieve this goal, many control techniques have been proposed, such as the OGY method or the Pyragas method, which can reduce the chaotic oscillations to regular oscillations. Now, this question may be struck. How to reduce the chaotic oscillations to regular oscillations in chaotic FO systems, while we know that FO chaotic systems do not have periodic orbits? Another related question is about the dynamical analysis of FO chaotic systems. It has been found that unstable periodic orbits play an important role in understanding the complex behavior of IO chaotic systems. However, how do we understand and analyze the behavior of fractional order chaotic systems, while there exists no periodic orbit in these systems?"

Also, other problems regard: a) bifurcation diagrams where, beside chaotic windows, periodic windows can appear; b) many chaotic attractors in FO systems, embedding the set of unstable periodic trajectories which form a dense set; c) Hopf theorem which implies limit cycles; d) synchronization of chaotic FO systems, with chaos containing unstable periodic trajectories; e) finite-time synchronization of fractional-order chaotic systems via terminal sliding mode control based on periodic motions.

Considering the above results, it is clear that FO systems can have only asymptotically non-constant periodic solution and, moreover, to our knowledge nothing is known about the stability of this kind of solutions.

One over the other, many phenomena and real systems are not strictly periodic and, before a rigorous theoretical answer to the above open problems will appear, in order to overcome this obstacle, consider the following definition.

Definition 2.1. In the *n*-dimensional phase space \mathbb{R}^n , with $n \geq 2$, a numerically periodic trajectory (NPT) refers to as a closed trajectory in the numerically sense that, after transients are removed, the closing error ϵ is within a given bound of 1E - m, with *m* being a sufficiently large positive integer (see the sketch in Fig. 2).

4 M.-F. Danca

For convenience, we assume from now on that even no results on the stability of asymptotically periodic FO orbits are known, NPTs can be considered as good candidates for numerical approaches.

3. Matlab code for Lyapunov exponents

The following result provide the existence of the LEs of the FO system modeled by the IVP (1) [Li et al., 2010]

Theorem 3. System (1) has the following variational equations, which define the LEs:

$$D_*^q \Phi(t) = D_x f(x) \Phi(t),$$

$$\Phi(0) = I,$$
(2)

where Φ is the matrix solution of system (1), D_x is the Jacobian of f and I is the identity matrix.

The utilized algorithm for all LEs of FO systems, has been proposed in the seminal works of Benettin et al. [Benettin *et al.*, 1980] (see also [Shimada & Nagashima, 1979]), one of the first work to propose a Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure to compute LEs for continuous systems of IO, as described in [Eckmann & Ruelle, 1985]), and by Wolf et al. [Wolf *et al.*, 1985b] (see also [Eckmann *et al.*, 1987]). While in the previous paper, the Matlab code to determine the LEs is based on the PECE numerical ABM, for integration of the IVP (1) of commensurate order [Diethelm *et al.*, 2002] now, the numerical integrator is the same method, but for non-commensurate order.

The algorithm to find all LEs of Integer Order (IO) from a time series, is described as a Fortran code and later as a Matlab code (see [Wolf *et al.*, 1985b] and [Wolf, 2021], respectively).

The program presented in this paper uses the skeleton of the Matlab code presented in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018], based on the program lyapunov.m (see [Govorukhin, 2004]).

While in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018], the required numerical integration of the commensurate order system uses a numerical method for FDEs of commensurate order, in this paper the algorithm remains basically similar. But, instead of a numerical method for FDEs of commensurate-order, a numerical method for FDEs of non-commensurate order is utilized. Note that for both commensurate and noncommensurate order cases, any other fast method to integrate the IVP (1) can be used.

Because numerical integration of the IVP (1) is time consuming, it is preferable to employ improved numerical code, like the FDE12 used in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018]. In this paper, the program fde_pi12_pc.m [Garrappa, 2017] (see also [Garrappa, 2017]) is used.

The code for FO LEs of non-commensurate order, called FO_nc_Lyapunov.m (see Appendix A where, for speed, starred lines can be put as comment), uses a similar code for commensurate order, the file containing the extended system, ext_fcn.m, and a solver for non-commensurate FDEs (here, fde_pi12_pc.m). All files must be in the same folder. The running command of FO_nc_Lyapunov.m is

[t,LE]=F0_NC_Lyapunov(ne,ext_fcn,t_start,h_norm, t_end,x_start,h,q,out);

where

- ne represents the equations (and state variables) number;
- ext_fcn.m the function containing the extended system;
- t_start and t_end the time span;
- h_norm the normalization step in the Gram-Schmidt algorithm;
- x_start the initial condition;
- h the integration step size;
- $q = [q_1, q_2, ..., q_{ne}], and$
- out indicates the number of h_norm steps when intermediate values of time and LEs are printed (for out=0, no intermediate results will be printed out).

Consider the Lorenz system of noN-commensurate order:

$$D_*^{q_1} x_1 = a(x_2 - x_1), D_*^{q_2} x_2 = x_1(b - x_3) - x_2, D_*^{q_3} x_3 = x_1 x_2 - c x_3,$$

with b variable, a = 10, $c = \frac{8}{3}$, the FO $q = [q_1, q_2, q_3] = [0.995, 0.992, 0.996]$ and the extended function, Lorenz_ext.m, presented in Appendix B. For b = 23, one of the two equilibria $(\pm \sqrt{c(b-1)}, \pm \sqrt{c(b-1)}, b-1), (7.659, 7.659, 22.000),$ attracts the trajectory starting from the initial condition x_start=[1,1,1] (Fig. 3 (a)).¹

¹The use of the code can be simplified so that the parameter (here b) be set from the command line of FO_nc_Lyapunov (see [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018]).

The LEs are obtained with the command

<pre>[t,LE]=F0_NC_Lyapunov(3,@Lorenz_ext,0,0.1,1000,</pre>
[1,1,1]',0.01,[0.995,0.992,0.996],1000)

and are presented in Table 1 with the time-evolution in Fig. 3 (b).

100.00	-0.0637	-0.0962	-13.6300	
200.00	-0.0679	-0.0861	-13.6367	
300.00	-0.0705	-0.0816	-13.6389	
400.00	-0.0711	-0.0800	-13.6400	
500.00	-0.0718	-0.0787	-13.6407	
600.00	-0.0722	-0.0779	-13.6412	
700.00	-0.0724	-0.0774	-13.6415	
800.00	-0.0727	-0.0769	-13.6417	
900.00	-0.0727	-0.0767	-13.6419	
1000.00	-0.0730	-0.0763	-13.6421	

Table 1. LEs of the Lorenz system (3) for b = 23 (last blue line).

For b = 28, the system evolves chaoticALLY (Fig. 4 (a)) and the LEs are given in Table 2 with the time evolution in Fig. 4 (b).

100.00	0.7637	0.0026	-14.5118	
200.00	0.8306	0.0022	-14.5751	
300.00	0.8650	0.0033	-14.6086	
400.00	0.8854	-0.0022	-14.6226	
500.00	0.8801	0.0042	-14.6238	
600.00	0.8811	0.0024	-14.6233	
700.00	0.8861	0.0007	-14.6264	
800.00	0.8918	0.0010	-14.6320	
900.00	0.8961	-0.0008	-14.6344	
1000.00	0.8956	0.0006	-14.6352	

Table 2. LEs of the Lorenz system (3) for b = 28 (last blue line).

Considering the results and comments in Section 2, the above results should be considered in the spirit of Definition 2.1. Thus, for b = 23, the negativeness of LEs would indicate the existence of a NPT.

Note that because of the numerically approximation of the NPTs, beside the errors typically due to numerically algorithms for LEs, it is possible to have supplementary errors.

Remark 3.1. With minor modifications, the code can be used to plot the evolution of LEs vs some system parameter or vs q (see FO_Lyapunov_p.m, run_FO_Lyapunov_p.m, and FO_Lyapunov_q.m, run_FO_Lyapunov_q.m, respectively, in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018]).

As mentioned in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018], the main steps to determine numerically the LEs are: numerical integration of the FO system (1) together

with the variational system (2) (i.e. the extended system), and the correlation between h_norm of the Gram-Schmidt procedure and h of the numerical integration. Thus, beside initial conditions, one of the most important parameters is h_norm and also its relation with h (Fig. 5). Thus, while the role of initial conditions especially in the case of chaotic behavior, when LEs present a strong sensitivity dependence on initial conditions, is well known and studied, the influence of the size of h_norm, not only for the FO case but also in the IO case, is not well established from the numerical point of view. Obviously, correct results can be obtained only if h_norm is multiple of h, but several tests with different (h, **h_norm**) have to be tried for a specific system until the obtained LEs present an invariance-like result with h and h_norm.

Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, the Matlab code for determining numerically the LEs of a class of dynamical systems of non-commensurate order is presented. The paper continues the previous paper [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018], where the case of commensurate order is analyzed. The code is designed by modifying the Matlab code presented in [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018]. A fast Matlab code to integrate non-commensurate FO systems is utilized. However, any other fast routines can be tried to integrate the extended system. A special attention should be paid to the relation between $h_n orm$ of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization and the step h of the integration routine. Without some general rule found, we suggest the relation $h_norm=k \times h$ with k being some positive integer, e.g. k = 10, but with values of these two parameters adequately chosen for every system.

Regarding the significance of LEs, note that the simple interpretation of positiveness as a sufficiency criteria for chaos is not always true. For example, in systems of IO with Perron effects, positive LEs may not imply instability and chaos [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018]. We strongly believe that this effect might arise from systems of FO too.

Since the routine fde_pi12_pc.m can be used for order q = 1 (see [Garrappa, 2017]), the code proposed in this paper can be used for systems modeled by equations of FO and IO. Actually, in order to reduce the mentioned inherent errors for a given system to which one know the LEs for the IO case, one can run the code FO_nc_Lyapunov.m with q = 1 and adjust the above parameters h and h_norm until the ob-

6 REFERENCES

tained LEs are approximatively identical with the IO case. Also, an interesting study would be to compare the results obtained with algorithms from time series with the results obtained using the equations of the model such as the presented algorithm.

As the author of the utilized routine lyapunov.m doesn't give any warranty regarding the accuracy of the results (a normal assumption for all algorithms for LEs), we advise readers to use the two proposed codes, the one for the commensurate case [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018] and the present code, with a justified precaution. In the author's opinion, it is almost impossible to write a 100% efficient program for finding LEs of IO or FO systems by using whatever algorithm. Therefore, finding numerically LEs remains a more spectacular than precise tool in the studies of nonlinear dynamics.

Finally, it is noted beside the caution required by the relative accuracy of the numerically obtained values of LEs, typically for all numerical methods for LEs, a special attention should be given to the periodicity problem of FO systems presented in Section 2.

The code can be downloaded from MATLAB Central File Exchange:

https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/ fileexchange/92753-code-for-noncommensuratefractional-order-lyapunov-exponents

References

- Area, I., Losada, J., & Nieto, J.J. [2014] "On fractional derivatives and primitives of periodic functions," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 392598.
- Barreira, L. & Pesin, Y. [2001] "Lectures on Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory," In: SmoothErgodic Theory and Its Applications. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pp. 3Ú90 (AMS).
- Benettin, G., Galgani, L., Giorgilli, A. & Strelcyn, J.-M. [1980] "Lyapunov characteristic exponents for smooth dynamical systems and for hamiltonian systems. A method for computing all of them. Part II: Numerical application," *Meccanica* 15, 21–30.
- Botha, A.E. [2016] "Characteristic distribution of finite-time Lyapunov exponents for chimera states," *Scientific Repports* **6** 29213.
- Caputo, M. [1967] "Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent-II," *Geophysical Journal International* **13**, 529–

539.

- Li, Y., Chen, Y. Q., & Podlubny, I. [2009] "Mittag-Leffler stability of fractional order nonlinear dynamic systems," *Automatica* 45, 1965–1969.
- Cvitanović, P., Artuso, R., Mainieri, R., Tanner, G. & Vattay, G. [2016] *Chaos: Classical and Quantum* (Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen), http://ChaosBook.org.
- Czornik, A., Nawrat, A. & Niezabitowski, M. [2013] "Lyapunov exponents for discrete time-varying systems," part of Studies in Computational Intelligence book series SCI **440**, 29–44.
- Danca, M.-F. & Kuznetsov, N. [2018] "Matlab code for Lyapunov exponents of fractional order systems," *International J Bifure Chaos* 28, 05, 1850067.
- Diethelm, K., Ford, N. & Freed, A. [2002] "A predictor-corrector approach for the numerical solution of fractional differential equations," *Nonlinear Dyn* **29**, 3–22.
- Eckhardt, B. & Yao, D. [1993], "Local Lyapunov exponents in chaotic systems," *Physica D* 65 100–108.
- Eckmann, J. P., Kamphorst, S. O., Ruelle, D. & Ciliberto, S. [1987] "Liapunov exponents from time series," *Phys. Rev. A* 34, 4971–4979.
- Eckmann, J.-P. & Ruelle, D. [1985] "Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors," *Reviews* of Modern Physics **57**, 617–656.
- Eckmann, J.P., & Ruelle, D. [1985] "Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors," *Reviews* of Modern Physics **57**, 617–656.
- Garrappa, R. [2017] Product-Integration with predictor-corrector,

https://www.dm.uniba.it/members/garrappa/software

- Garrappa, R. [2018] "Numerical solution of fractional differential equations: A survey and a software tutorial," *Mathematics* **6**, 16, doi:10.3390/math6020016.
- Gorenflo, R. & Mainardi, F. [1997] "Fractional Calculus," in Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics. International Centre for Mechanical Sciences (Courses and Lectures), Carpinteri, Alberto, Mainardi, Francesco (Eds.), Vol. 8 (Springer, Vienna).
- Govorukhin, V. [2004] "Calculation Lyapunov exponents for ODE," shorturl.at/iSU35.
- Grassberger, P., Badii R., & Politi, A.J. [1988] "Scalling laws for invariants measures on hyperbolic and non-hyperbolic attractors," *J. Stat. Phys* **51**, 135Ű-178.
- Huai, X.W., Li, J. P., Ding, R.Q., et al., [2017]

"Quantifying local predictability of the Lorenz system using the nonlinear local Lyapunov exponent," *Atmospheric And Oceanic Science Letters* **10**, 5, 372–378.

- Hegger, R., Kantz, H. & Schreiber, T. [1999] "Practical implementation of nonlinear time series methods: The TISEAN package," *Chaos* 9, 413–435.
- Kang, Y.M., Xie, Y., Lu, J.C. & Jiang, J., [2015], "On the nonexistence of non-constant exact periodic solutions in a class of the Caputo fractional-order dynamical systems," *Nonlinear Dyn* 82, 1259–1267.
- Kuznetsov, N., Alexeeva, T. & Leonov, G. [2016] "Invariance of Lyapunov exponents and Lyapunov dimension for regular and irregular linearizations," *Nonlinear Dyn* 85, 195–201, doi: 10.1007/s11071-016-2678-4.
- Kuznetsov, N., Leonov, G., Mokaev, T., Prasad, A. & Shrimali, M. [2018a] "Finite-time Lyapunov dimension and hidden attractor of the Rabinovich system," *Nonlinear dynamics* https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-018-4054-z.
- Li, C., Gong, Z., Qian, D. & Chen, Y. [2010] "On the bound of the Lyapunov exponents for the fractional differential systems," *Chaos* 20, 013127.
- Lyapunov, A. [1892] The General Problem of the Stability of Motion (in Russian) (Kharkov), [English transl.: Academic Press, NY, 1966].
- Tenreiro Machado, J.A., Silva, M.F., Barbosa, R.S., Jesus, I.S., Reis, C.M., Marcos, M.G. & Galhano, A.F. [2010] "Some applications of fractional calculus in engineering," *Math. Probl. Eng.* 2010, 639801.
- Oseledets, V. [1968] "A multiplicative ergodic theorem. Characteristic Ljapunov, exponents of dynamical systems," *Trudy Moskovskogo Matematicheskogo Obshchestva (in Russian)* 19, 179–210.
- Ott, E. [1993] Chaos in Dynamical Systems Cambridge University Press, Toronto.
- Pikovsky, A. & Politi, A. [2016] Lyapunov Exponents: A Tool to Explore Complex Dynamics (Cambridge University Press).
- Podlubny, I. [1999] Fractional Differential Equations: An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of their Solution and some of their Applications, Lecture Notes in Applied and Computational Mechanics (Academic Press, San Diego).
- Prasad, A. & Ramaswamy, R. [1999] "Characteris-

tic distributions of finite-time Lyapunov exponents," *Phys. Rev.* E **60**, 2761–2766.

- Shimada, I. & Nagashima, T. [1979] "A numerical approach to ergodic problem of dissipative dynamical systems," *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 61, 1605–1616.
- Shen, J. & Lam, J. [2014], "Non-existence of finitetime stable equilibria in fractional-order nonlinear systems," Automatica 50, 547–551
- Skokos, C. [2010] "The Lyapunov characteristic exponents and their computation," *Lecture Notes* in Physics **790**, 63–135.
- Tavazoei, M.S. [2010] "A note on fractional-order derivatives of periodic functions," *Automatica* 46, 945–948.
- Tavazoei, M. & Haeri, M. [2009] "A proof for non existence of periodic solutions in time invariant fractional order systems," *Automatica* 45, 1886 – 1890.
- Vallejo, J. & Sanjuan, M. [2019] Predictability of Chaotic Dynamics: A Finite-time Lyapunov Exponents Approach (Springer, Cham, Switzerland).
- Wolf, А. [2021]Wolf Lyapunov expoestimation from a nent time series. (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/ fileexchange/48084-wolf-lyapunov-exponentestimation-from-a-time-series), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Retrieved March 27, 2021.
- Wolf, A., Swift, J. B., Swinney, H. L. & Vastano, J. A. [1985b] "Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series," *Physica D: Nonlin*ear Phenomena, 16, 285–317.
- Yazdani, M. & Salarieh, H. [2011] "On the existence of periodic solutions in time-invariant fractional order systems," *Automatica* 47, 1834– 1837

```
Appendices
                                                     % 0.0.1.1000. [1.1.1]<sup>7</sup>.0.01. [.995..992..996].1000)
                                                      %
A. Matlab code for LEs of
                                                      % For speed, starred lines can be commented.
     non-commensurate FO
                                                      %
                                                      %===========
                                                      % Cite the code as:
function [t,LE]=F0_NC_Lyapunov(ne,ext_fcn,t_start,
                                                      %
    h_norm,t_end,x_start,h,q,out);
                                                      % Marius-F. Danca, Matlab code for Lyapunov
%
                                                      % exponents of fractional-order systems,
% Program to compute the spectrum of Lyapunov
                                                      \% Part II: The non-commensurate case, IJBC.
% exponents as function of time of systems
                                                      %
\% of non-commensurate fractional order defined
                                                      % The algorithm for COMMENSURATE order is
% with Caputo's derivative
                                                      % explained in:
%
% author Marius-F. Danca
                                                      % [1] Marius-F. Danca, Nikolay Kuznetsov, Matlab
% web:http://danca.rist.ro/
                                                      % code for Lyapunov exponents of fractional order
% email: danca@rist.ro
                                                      % systems, IJBC, 28(05), 1850067 (2018).
% ------
                                                      %
% The program uses a fast variant of the Adams-
                                                      % ======
                                                               _____
% Bashforth-Moulton for fractional-
                                                      figure;
% order differential equations: fde_pi12_pc.m, by
                                                      hold on;
% Roberto Garrappa: shorturl.at/izJW3,
                                                      % Set orders q for extended system (ne system
% see also
                                                      % equations + ne*ne variational equations)
% R.Garrappa, Numerical Solution of
                                                       q=repmat(q',ne+1,1);
% Fractional Differential Equations: A Survey and
                                                      % Memory allocation
\% a Software Tutorial, Mathematics 2018, 6(2), 16.
                                                      x=zeros(ne*(ne+1),1);
%
                                                      x0=x;
% files required: fde_pi12_pc, FO_NC_Lyapunov.m
                                                      c=zeros(ne,1);
% and the function containing the extended system,
                                                      gsc=c; zn=c;
% ext_fnc.
                                                      n_it = round((t_end-t_start)/h_norm);
% Files FO_NC_Lyapunov.m, fde_pi12_pc.m and
                                                      % Initial values for extended system
% ext_fnc must be in the same folder.
                                                      x(1:ne)=x_start;
%
                                                      i=1;
% FO_NC_Lyapunov.m is developed, by modifying
                                                      while i<=ne
% the program for the commensurate order
                                                          x((ne+1)*i)=1.0;
% FO_Lyapunov.m: shorturl.at/suzF7 (see also
                                                          i=i+1:
% Lyapunov.m by V.N. Govorukhin)
                                                      end
%
% Input:
                                                      t=t_start;
% ne - system dimension;
                                                      LE=zeros(ne,1);
                                                      % Main loop
% ext_fcn -function containing the extended system;
                                                      it=1;
% t_start, t_end - time span for fde_pi12_pc.m;
                                                      while it<=n_it</pre>
% h_norm - step for Gram-Schmidt renormalization;
                                                      % Solution of extended ODE system on [t,t+h_nrom]
% x_start - initial condition;
                                                          [T,Y] = fde_pi12_pc(q,ext_fcn,t,t+h_norm,x,h);
% h - integration step;
                                                          t=t+h_norm;
% q=[q_1;q_2;...;q_ne] - the fractional order;
                                                         Y=transpose(Y);
\% out - priniting step of LEs values;
% out==0 - no print.
                                                         x=Y(size(Y,1),:); %solution at t+h_norm
                                                          i=1;
%
                                                          while i<=ne
% Output:
                                                             j=1;
% t - time values;
                                                             while j<=ne;</pre>
% LE Lyapunov exponents to each time value printed
                                                                 x0(ne*i+j)=x(ne*j+i);
% every 'out'*h_norm steps.
                                                                 j=j+1;
%
                                                             end;
% How to use it:
                                                             i=i+1;
%
                                                          end:
% [t,LE]=F0_NC_Lyapunov(ne,ext_fcn,t_start,h_norm,
                                                         construct new orthonormal basis by gram-schmidt
% t_end,x_start,h,q,out);
                                                          zn(1)=0.0;
%
% Example:
                                                          j=1;
                                                          while j<=ne
%
                                                             zn(1)=zn(1)+x0(ne*j+1)^2;
% [t,LE]=F0_NC_Lyapunov(3,@Lorenz_ext.m,
```

```
j=j+1;
    end;
   zn(1)=sqrt(zn(1));
   j=1;
   while j<=ne</pre>
       x0(ne*j+1)=x0(ne*j+1)/zn(1);
       j=j+1;
    end
    j=2;
   while j<=ne</pre>
       k=1;
       while k<=j-1
           gsc(k)=0.0;
           1=1;
            while l<=ne;</pre>
               gsc(k)=gsc(k)+x0(ne*l+j)*x0(ne*l+k);
               1=1+1:
            end
           k=k+1;
       end
       k=1;
       while k<=ne
           1=1;
            while l<=j-1
               x0(ne*k+j)=x0(ne*k+j)-gsc(1)*x0(ne*k+j)
                    1);
               1=1+1;
            end
           k=k+1;
       end;
       zn(j)=0.0;
       k=1;
       while k<=ne</pre>
           zn(j)=zn(j)+x0(ne*k+j)^2;
           k=k+1;
       end
       zn(j)=sqrt(zn(j));
       k=1;
       while k<=ne</pre>
           x0(ne*k+j)=x0(ne*k+j)/zn(j);
           k=k+1;
       end
            j=j+1;
   end
%
   update running vector magnitudes
   k=1;
   while k<=ne;</pre>
       c(k)=c(k)+log(zn(k));
       k=k+1;
    end;
%
   normalize exponent
   k=1;
```

```
while k<=ne</pre>
       LE(k)=c(k)/(t-t_start);
       k=k+1;
    end
   i=1;
   while i<=ne</pre>
       j=1;
       while j<=ne;</pre>
           x(ne*j+i)=x0(ne*i+j);
            j=j+1;
       end
       i=i+1;
   end;
       x=transpose(x);
       it=it+1;
%
       print and plot results
       if (mod(it,out)==0)%
                                              (*)
           fprintf('%10.2f %10.4f %10.4f %10.4f\n'
                ,[t,LE']);
                                             (*)
       end;%
                                              (*)
       plot(t,LE)%
                                              (*)
end
xlabel('t','fontsize',16)%
                                              (*)
ylabel('LEs','fontsize',14)%
                                              (*)
set(gca,'fontsize',14)%
                                              (*)
box on;%
                                              (*)
line([0,t],[0,0],'color','k')%
                                              (*)
axis tight%
                                              (*)
```

B. Lorenz extended system

```
function f=Lorenz_ext(t,x)
f=zeros(size(x));
% ne*(ne+1) variables allocated for variational
    equations
% Here a=10, b=23, c=8/3;
X = [x(4), x(7), x(10);
   x(5), x(8), x(11);
   x(6), x(9), x(12)];%To be modified if ne>3
% ne equations (Lorenz system)
f(1)=10*(y-x);
f(2) = -x + 23 + x - y;
f(3)=x*y-8/3*z;
% Jacobian matrix
J=[-10, 10, 0;
  23-z, -1, -x;
    y, x, -8/3];
% Variational equations
f(4:12)=J*X; % To be modified if ne>3
```

10 REFERENCES



Fig. 1. (a) Graphs of the derivative $D_*^q \sin(t) = t^{1-q} E_{2,2-q}(-t^q)$ for $q \in \{0.1, 0.4, 0.7, 1\}$. Circled regions reveal the differences between the derivative of IO of sin (q = 1), and the non periodic derivatives for $q \in \{0.1, 0.4, 0.7\}$; (b) Autocorrelation of the derivative D_*^q for q = 0.4.



,

Fig. 2. Sketch of a NPT.

 $12 \quad REFERENCES$



Fig. 3. (a) Phase plot of a trajectory of the Lorenz system (3) for b = 23; (b) Time evolution of LEs for b = 23.



Fig. 4. (a) Phase plot of a trajectory of the Lorenz system (3) for b = 28; (b) Time evolution of LEs for b = 28.



Fig. 5. Sketch showing the relation between h_{norm} (Gram-Schmidt algorithm) and h (step-size of the numerical integrator) [Danca & Kuznetsov, 2018].